

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/708,153 | LIEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ZHENG WEI | 2192 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: After RCE

(1) ZHENG WEI.

(3) _____.

(2) Scott Margo (Reg#:56,277).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2009

Time: 11:00AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tuan Q. Dam/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

- . Discussed and clarified the key features that Applicants regarded as their invention according to the specification.
- . Examiner pointed out that current invention directs to a debug process by using breakpoint method. During the debugging execution, user checks, modifies the condition parameters at each breakpoint to direct the execution to go through each execution paths.
- . Mr. Margo agreed with Examiner's interpretation towards the invention as disclosed in the specification.
- . Examiner further pointed out that the amended claims in latest filed RCE are still obvious in view of recited prior art, e.g. cited art Sanchez and/or other well-known art in the field, e.g. code coverage test, which simulates and testing all code paths as code implemented.